

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/007,332	11/08/2001	Andrew Marshall	TI-31484	3238
23494	7590 09/20/2004			INER
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			WARREN, MATTHEW E	
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2815	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/007,332	MARSHALL, ANDREW					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matthew E Warren	2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 30 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	·					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advervent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most partned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:	etion(s):						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT place the					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-5</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-13,15-19</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:		Nom Nomes OM THOMAS					
		RY PATENT EXAMINER					



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not persuasive. In re the arguments against the 112 rejection, the applicant primarily relies on the figures of the application to show that "bodies are not tied to any fixed potential." The examiner believes that the omission of elements in the drawings do not constitute enablement for limitations. If such a limitation were critical to the invention, then it should be mentioned in the spec with reference to the drawings. In re the arguments concerning the prior art rejection, the applicant primarily argues that the references do not teach a physical connection (metal or non-insulating material) that provides thermal conduction. The references do not explicitly teach thermal conduction, but the references teach the same materials and structure as the instant invention. There is nothing in the claims that recites a structure or material that is different or novel over the references of Flaker and Houston. They respectively teach metal and non-insulating material between two bodies. If the applicant's broadly recited materials of metal and non-insulative material are thermally conductive then the prior art metal and non-insulative material are also thermally conductive, as is also well known in the art. Therefore, the final rejection stands.